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• Why we need an Integrated Food Safety System (IFSS)
  – Partnership for Food Protection
• Role of FSMA in establishing an IFSS
  – Increased investment in State & local partners
• Integration Task Force
• Success stories: Engagement of State & local partners to protect the public health
A National Integrated Food Safety System (IFSS)

• Jointly developed and implemented inspection and enforcement programs

• Benefits:
  – Increased ability to assess potential risks at domestic food facilities
  – More consistent coverage of these facilities across the entire food supply chain
  – Greater food surveillance through integration of food facility inspection information
  – Improved rapid response capacity and efficiency

• Outcome: **Increased public health protection**
Partnership for Food Protection

• Bring together regulatory stakeholders to develop an IFSS
  – Strengthened inspection, laboratory, and response capacity
• 2008 & 2010 50-State Workshops
• 10 Workgroups
FSMA & Fed-State Integration

• Federal-State Integration Deliverable Groups
  – Operational Partnerships
    • Sections 201, 209, 210
  – Capacity Building
    • Sections 205c1, 205c2
  – Training
    • Section 209

• Harmonize with PFP Workgroups
Section 201- Targeting of Inspection Resources for Domestic Facilities

- FDA reliance on inspections conducted by other agencies under agreement
  - States conduct 60% of Federal inspections under contract
- Role of MFRPS and AFRPS
  - Provide **uniformity and consistency** among Federal & State Inspection Programs
- Independent Audit Group
  - Ensure uniform implementation of Standards
- PFP WGs: National Workplan, National Standards, Oversight
FSMA & the PFP 50-State Workshop

• 50-State Workshop Breakout Sessions
  – Implementing routine joint workplanning
    • Reflective of FDA & State priorities
    • Implemented at District/State level
    • Consideration of information sharing requirements
      – Commissioning, 20.88 Agreements
    • Cover routine, emergency and recall type inspections and/or investigations
  – Implementing a process for conducting joint inspections
Section 209- Improving the Training of State, Local, Territorial and Tribal Officers

• Set standards and administer training and education programs for food safety officials
• Training areas include:
  – Scientific; best practices; sampling & laboratory; building enforcement actions; inspections under 702/704
• Provide **consistency and equivalency** among Federal & State Programs
• We must invest in training
  – A contract or MOU will provide support for this effort
• PFP WGs: Training & Certification
FSMA & the PFP 50-State Workshop

- 50-State Workshop Breakout Sessions
  - From Ideas to Implementation: Facilitating & supporting the use of IFSS best practices

- Tie in: PFP Training & Certification Workgroup
  - Link sections of FSMA to IFPTI Curriculum Framework
  - Identify and Qualify Existing Job and Occupational Analyses
    - Seven (7) job task analyses have been identified
    - Currently developing criteria to qualify these job task analyses
    - Grants: IFPTI, NEHA, UC Davis, IA State Univ, Auburn Univ, NCSU, Univ of TN
Section 210- Enhancing Food Safety

• Direct investment in the infrastructure of State and local capacities

• Eligible entities may be funded to:
  – Undertake examinations, inspections, and investigations, and related food safety activities under section 702
  – Train to the standards of the Secretary
  – Build the food safety capacity of laboratories
  – Build infrastructure and capacity of food safety programs
  – Take appropriate action in response to notifications and recalls

• PFP WGs: Performance Measures
FSMA & the PFP 50-State Workshop

• 50-State Workshop Breakout Sessions
  – From Ideas to Implementation: Facilitating & supporting the use of IFSS best practices

• Tie in: PFP Performance Measures and Outcomes Workgroup
  – Exploring the viability of performance measures in several key outcome areas
    • Percent of retail and foodservice establishments successfully implementing controls for key foodborne illness factors, as measured by inspection data
    • Degree to which inspection authority meets their target inspection frequencies for high risk firms
Section 205- Surveillance

• 205c2: A review of State and local capacities, completed no later than one year after the date of enactment

• Will enable the development of strategies to leverage and enhance capacities to improve the following (205c1):
  – Surveillance, outbreak response & containment
  – Inspections & safety standards
  – Partnerships & Information sharing

• PFP WGs: Emergency Response, National Standards, Policy & Procedures, Information Technology, Laboratory, PetNET
FSMA & the PFP 50-State Workshop

• 50-State Workshop Breakout Sessions
  – Generating a cross-jurisdictional after action review (AAR) process

• Tie in: PFP Emergency Response Workgroup
  – Develop multi-jurisdictional after action review process
    • Supports FSMA Section 205c1(A) Improve foodborne illness outbreak response and containment
FSMA & the PFP 50-State Workshop

• 50-State Workshop Breakout Sessions
  – Implementing Integrated Compliance and Enforcement processes

• Tie in: PFP Policies & Procedures Workgroup
  – Develop a process of sharing regulatory information
  – 2011 Food and Feed Inspection Violation Pilot Project
    • CIN-DO/KY; ATL-DO/NC & GA; MIN-DO/MN; SEA-DO/WA
    • Focus on real-time discussions of significant objectionable conditions found during inspections
    • Supports FSMA Section 205c1(E) Share information on a timely basis
Integrated Food Safety System Task Force

• Charged to develop and implement new strategies and action plans to **achieve full partnership** with state and local agencies

• Task Force findings & recommendations will be highlighted at the PFP 50-State Workshop

• Task Force Membership:
  – FDA
  – States
  – Associations
# Then & Now: Investment in Federal-State Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programs</th>
<th>FY2009 Funding</th>
<th>FY2012 Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contracts (total)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Contract (added FY11)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$300K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFRPS (part of Food Contract)</td>
<td>26 Programs</td>
<td>40 Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grants (total)</strong></td>
<td>$17.4M</td>
<td>$48.1M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFRPS (added FY12)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$11M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO (added FY12)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$8M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRT (expanded FY12)</td>
<td>$4.5M</td>
<td>$6M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSMA Emergency Response &amp; Risk Based Inspections</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$4M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliances</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>AFDO &amp; NCSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Cooperative Programs (total)</strong></td>
<td>$250K</td>
<td>$2.45M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>$250K</td>
<td>$500K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy &amp; Shellfish</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$250K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Funding</strong></td>
<td>$38.7M</td>
<td>$72.9M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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