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What is RFS Supposed to Do?

• Replace fossil energy with renewable energy in transportation sector
  • Cut CO2 emissions
  • Reduce imports of crude oil
  • Reduce funds for Middle East governments

• Increase rural development through greater agricultural prosperity (higher corn prices)
How is RFS supposed to accomplish these goals?

• Create financial incentive to invest in infrastructure needed to expand ability to use ethanol and biodiesel

• RIN price is a tax gasoline and diesel sales

• Oil companies can reduce their tax by reducing RIN prices by increasing the consumer demand for biofuels
Is Ethanol Demand Limited?

• All cars can use 10% ethanol blend
  • 10% of 137 BG of gasoline = 13.7 BG
  • Oil companies like 10% ethanol

• To use more than 13.7 BG requires higher-than-10% blend
  • E85 or E15

• Feasibility of meeting RFS targets depends on inducing drivers to buy E85 and E15
## Proposed Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.93</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>17.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiesel</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulosic</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Advanced</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implied Corn Ethanol</td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some Basic Facts

- $13.4 \text{ BG} + .24 + .11 = 13.75 \text{ BG in 2014.}$
  - This volume can be met if all motor gasoline contains 10% ethanol
  - But not likely to happen due to demand for E0
  - Implies positive RIN prices for all categories

- $14 \text{ BG} + .49 + .21 = 14.7 \text{ BG in 2015}$
  - This volume can be met if all motor gasoline contains 10% ethanol and maximum amount of E85 is sold to 18 million flex vehicles through existing infrastructure
  - Implies high RIN prices for all categories
Impact of Stock of RINs

- At least 2 billion gallons of carryover “credits” are available to meet ethanol targets
  - Oil companies will use a portion of these credits instead of the fuel to meet obligations in 2016
  - Use of credits will lower the price of RINs and reduce the incentive to invest in more E85 and E15 outlets
Key Issue

• Will EPA increase volumes in 2017?
  • If yes: should announce today so that plans can be made
  • If no: should announce today to keep investments from being made.
Impact of EPA’s proposal

- May create incentive in 6 months to expand ethanol fueling infrastructure
- Creates incentive to import sugarcane ethanol
- Creates incentive to produce and import biodiesel and renewable diesel
Common Criticisms of the RFS

• More biofuels means higher food prices

• High tax on gasoline and diesel increase fuels costs

• Biofuels worse than coal in terms of CO2 emissions because of land use change

• E85 is a failed fuel
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Biofuels Result in Large Conversion of Land
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