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Kentucky at a Glance

- Energy and Environment Cabinet includes:
  - Department for Environmental Protection
  - Department for Energy Independence and Development
  - Department of Natural Resources
  - Public Service Commission (administratively attached)
- All utilities are vertically integrated.
- Majority of utilities are regulated by the PSC.
- Service territory essentially includes 4 entities:
  - PJM
  - MISO
  - Tennessee Valley Authority
  - Largest generating utility is independent
Kentucky at a Glance

• 93% coal-fired generation in 2014.
• Average age of our coal-fired fleet is 43 years.
• The Mercury and Air Toxics Rule will result in the shutdown of 16 coal-fired boilers, equaling 4076 MW, reducing over 21 million tons of CO$_2$.
• Our first natural gas combined cycle gas electric generating plant is coming on line this summer.
• Third most electricity intensive state (kWh per 1$ of state GDP) in the nation.
• Third leading auto manufacturing state.
• Produce 40% of the nation’s aluminum and 30% of its steel.
Facts

- EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gases (GHGs) due to the 2007 Supreme Court ruling in *Massachusetts v. EPA*.

- EPA must regulate GHGs from electric generation units and other Title V major stationary sources:
  - 2010 Light Duty Vehicle standards
  - 2010 Consent Decree with 12 petitioning states and 3 environmental groups

- States are required to submit plans to comply with Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act.
GHG NSPS Litigation History

- **February 27, 2006** – EPA published final New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Electric Generating Units that did not include standards for GHGs.
- **August 25, 2008** – 12 State (and Washington D.C.) and 3 Environmental Petitioners filed petitions for judicial review.
- **December 2009** - EPA asked the U.S. Court of Appeals to remand the rule back to the agency for further consideration after Supreme Court ruling in *Massachusetts v. EPA*.
- **December 21, 2010** – EPA and petitioners enter into a consent decree requiring standards for GHGs to be included in a final NSPS.
Kentucky Engagement on 111(d)

- **March 2013** - NRDC report “Closing the Power Plant Carbon Pollution Loophole” triggered concerns.
- **June 2013** - President’s Executive Order and Clean Power Plan are issued.
- **October 2013** – EEC Whitepaper stressed flexibility.
- **December 2013** – EEC in-depth analysis on Kentucky’s energy future.
- **June 2014** – Kentucky Attorney General joins *Murray Energy Corp v. EPA*.
- **December 2014** – Cabinet submits extensive comments voicing concerns on proposed 111(d) standard.
111(d) CO₂ Target for Kentucky

2012 Baseline: 2,166 lbs/MWh
-6%

Building Block 1: 2,036 lbs/MWh
-8.3%

Building Blocks 1 & 2: 1,986 lbs/MWh
-10.1%

Building Blocks 1-3: 1,947 lbs/MWh
-18.6%

Building Blocks 1-4: 1,763 lbs/MWh
KRS 224.20-140 through 224.20-146 (HB 388)

- Unanimously passed during the 2014 Kentucky General Session.
- Requires unit-by-unit rates based on cost-effective efficiency gains at the plant (e.g. turbine or boiler upgrades).
- Prohibits fuel switching.
- Prohibits co-firing other fuels with coal.
- Prohibits limiting utilization of the EGU.
- Requires separate coal and natural gas categories.
Plan or no plan?

- CAA and federal regulations require the state agency to submit a plan within 9 months after promulgation of the regulation.

- If a state chooses not to submit a plan, there are very clear procedures for the implementation of a federal plan by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

- Stakeholders have expressed their desire for a state plan and not a federal one.

- Planning and litigation can and will occur simultaneously.
Added Complexity

- New state administration midway through the plan development process.
- What kind of transition product will the Beshear Administration leave for the next administration?
- How does a new administration handle this issue with a biennial budget and general session being the first things on its agenda?
- How do they resolve potential conflicts between federal and state law?
Principal Considerations

- Protect Our Manufacturing Economy
- Maintain Affordable Electricity Rates
- Ensure Grid Reliability
- Promote Energy Diversity
- Retain State Primacy
- Maximize Use of Existing Resources
Thank You

John S. Lyons
Assistant Secretary for Climate Policy
Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet

john.lyons@ky.gov
502-564-3350

www.eec.ky.gov