Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee (JJPIC) to Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee (JJPOC)
Pursuant to Public Act 06-187, section 16, the committee shall plan for the implementation of any changes in the juvenile justice system that would be required in order to extend jurisdiction in delinquency matters and proceedings to include sixteen-year-old and seventeen-year-old children within the Superior Court for Juvenile Matters. On or before February 1, 2007, the committee shall submit a report, in accordance with section 11-4a of the general statutes, on the committee's findings, together with any recommendations for appropriate legislation, to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to the judiciary and human services.
Committee Meetings: Working on a Goal

Requests for Proposals
Service Needs
Project Management

September 7, 2006
Agenda
Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee
Children, Youth and the Police
January 2006
Extending JJS Jurisdiction to 16 & 17 year olds
The Impact on Law Enforcement
The Multi-Agency Working Group on Youth
Final Report, January 15, 2006

August 3, 2006
Agenda
Overview of Connecticut’s Juvenile Justice System
Juvenile Justice Implementation Team Report
February 2004
Taking a “Positive Youth Development” Approach to Public Policy: A Briefing Paper for the Connecticut Youth Futures Committee
July 13, 2006
The Comparative Advantage of Juvenile Versus Criminal Court Sanctions on Recidivism among Adolescent Felony Offenders
1996
Brick by Brick: Dismantling the Border Between Juvenile and Adult Justice

November 2, 2006
Agenda
Superintendents Materials
Police Chiefs Materials
Silent Crisis: Large Numbers of Youth Are Not Completing High School
Reading to Achieve: A Governor’s Guide to Adolescent Literacy

October 17, 2006
Agenda
Child Advocate Testimony
Juvenile Justice Planning and Implementation Committee Data Presentation

October 5, 2006
Agenda
Comparison of Juvenile and Adult Court Process
USDII Information Survey
Programs that Work: Cost Benefits
DCF Services for Adolescents
OUT OF STATE
Multi-Systemic Therapy Locations
Detention Liaison Data
16 & 17 Year Olds Committed Neglect, Abused, Uncared for or TPR’d in Out of Home Placement

September 21, 2006
Agenda
Contracted Service Continuum and Recommendations for Youth
Juvenile Justice Planning and Implementation Committee Cataloging and Diagramming of the Current System
Testimony of George A. Coleman
Interim Commissioner
Connecticut State Board of Education
Testimony of Peter B. Rockholz, M.S.S.W.
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services
Committee Meetings: Working on a Goal Continued

**January 25, 2007**
- Agenda
- Recommendations

**January 18, 2007**
- Agenda
- Connecticut Service Needs Study

**January 4, 2007**
- Agenda
- Proposed Court and Service System for 16 & 17 year olds

**December 21, 2006**
- Agenda
- Connecticut's Juvenile and Criminal Justice Systems

**November 30, 2006**
- Agenda

**November 16, 2006**
- Agenda
- Meeting Materials
- Sample of Children and Youth at York and MYI
- DCF Presentation
- Additional DCF Charts
- Description of Services
- Grant Funded Services
- Juvenile Justice In State Population Summary by Facility, Gender and Ethnicity
- Juvenile Justice Out of State Population Summary by Facility, Gender and Ethnicity
- Fee for Service
- Provider Responses
- Description of Population Served
- Connecticut Behavioral Health Partnership Administrative Services Organization Presentation

JJPIC Time Frame
August 2006- January 2007

[Link to Archived Meeting Page]

Letter from the Co-chairs
February, 8, 2007
[Letter]

FINAL REPORT
February 12, 2007
[Final Report]

Appendices F& G
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Obstacles

- Cost – expensive to raise the age - this was overcome mainly due to a mother advocating for her child

- Police/Prosecutor concerns:
  - Questioning without parents present (and having that questioning admissible in court) – we compromised by saying that for 16 and 17 year olds police would need to try to get parental consent first, but that “totality of circumstances” would be used to determine admissibility. They still have to get parental consent before questioning if they want information they used to be admissible.
  - Infractions and driving violations – these stayed as fines and in the adult court - with the allowance for transfer into the JJ system if there arises a situation when a kid could be jailed for something like failure to pay a lot of parking tickets.
  - There were concerns about holding kids longer in the police stations – it would take longer for parents to come get them – or what if they wouldn’t come get the older kid.

- There were concerns that these kids are bigger and meaner and scarier

- There was the “tough on crime” objection – there are lots of ways that this has been overcome
# Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee

## 2007-2009

Pursuant to Public Act 07-4, section 88, the council shall monitor the implementation of the central components of the implementation plan developed by the Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee, as set forth in subsection (f) of this section, and resolve issues identified by the committee, as set forth in subsection (g) of this section, concerning changes required in the juvenile justice system to expand jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years of age.

### Meetings, Members, Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-2009</td>
<td>Pursuant to Public Act 07-4, section 88, the council shall monitor the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>implementation of the central components of the implementation plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>developed by the Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee, as set forth in subsection (f) of this section, and resolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>issues identified by the committee, as set forth in subsection (g) of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>this section, concerning changes required in the juvenile justice system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to expand jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of age.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2011-2012

Pursuant to Public Act 07-4, section 88, the council shall monitor the implementation of the central components of the implementation plan developed by the Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee, as set forth in subsection (f) of this section, and resolve issues identified by the committee, as set forth in subsection (g) of this section, concerning changes required in the juvenile justice system to expand jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years of age.

### Meetings, Members, Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>Pursuant to Public Act 07-4, section 88, the council shall monitor the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>implementation of the central components of the implementation plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>developed by the Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Committee, as set forth in subsection (f) of this section, and resolve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>issues identified by the committee, as set forth in subsection (g) of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>this section, concerning changes required in the juvenile justice system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to expand jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of age.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee Continued

Present

PA 14-217, section 79, "An Act Implementing Provisions of the State Budget for the Fiscal Year June 20, 2015" created the Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee which was established to evaluate policies related to the Juvenile Justice system and the expansion of juvenile jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years of age.

Meetings, Members, Description

Gov. Malloy’s Letter-