



Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and
Implementation Committee
(JJPIC)
to
Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight
Committee
(JJPOC)

JJPIC Mission

Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee

Pursuant to Public Act 06-187, section 16, the committee shall plan for the implementation of any changes in the juvenile justice system that would be required in order to extend jurisdiction in delinquency matters and proceedings to include sixteen-year-old and seventeen-year-old children within the Superior Court for Juvenile Matters. On or before February 1, 2007, the committee shall submit a report, in accordance with section 11-4a of the general statutes, on the committee's findings, together with any recommendations for appropriate legislation, to the joint standing committees of the General Assembly having cognizance of matters relating to the judiciary and human services.

Committee Meetings: Working on a Goal

Requests for Proposals

Service Needs

Project Management

September 7, 2006

Agenda

Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee
Children, Youth and the Police
January 2006

Extending JJS Jurisdiction to 16 & 17 year olds

The Impact on Law Enforcement

The Multi-Agency Working Group on Youth
Final Report, January 15, 2006

August 3, 2006

Agenda

Overview of Connecticut's Juvenile Justice System

Juvenile Justice Implementation Team Report
February 2004

Taking a "Positive Youth Development" Approach
to Public Policy: A Briefing Paper for the
Connecticut Youth Futures Committee
July 13, 2006

The Comparative Advantage of Juvenile Versus Criminal Court Sanctions on
Recidivism among Adolescent Felony Offenders
1996

Brick by Brick:
Dismantling the Border Between Juvenile and Adult Justice

November 2, 2006

Agenda

Superintendents Materials

Police Chiefs Materials

Silent Crisis: Large Numbers of Youth
Are Not Completing High School

Reading to Achieve:
A Governor's Guide to Adolescent Literacy

October 17, 2006

Agenda

Child Advocate Testimony

Juvenile Justice Planning and Implementation Committee Data Presentation

October 5, 2006

Agenda

Comparison of Juvenile and Adult Court Process

USDII Information Survey

Programs that Work: Cost Benefits

DCF Services for Adolescents

OUT OF STATE

Multi-Systemic Therapy Locations

Detention Liaison Data

16 & 17 Year Olds Committed Neglect, Abused, Uncared for
or TPR'd in Out of Home Placement

September 21, 2006

Agenda

Contracted Service Continuum
and Recommendations for Youth

Juvenile Justice Planning and Implementation Committee Cataloging and
Diagramming of the Current System

Testimony of George A. Coleman
Interim Commissioner
Connecticut State Board of Education

Testimony of Peter B. Rockholz, M.S.S.W.
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services

Committee Meetings: Working on a Goal Continued

January 25, 2007

Agenda

Recommendations

January 18, 2007

Agenda

Connecticut Service Needs Study

January 4, 2007

Agenda

Proposed Court and Service System for 16 & 17 year olds

December 21, 2006

Agenda

Connecticut's Juvenile and Criminal Justice Systems

November 30, 2006

Agenda

November 16, 2006

Agenda

Meeting Materials

Sample of Children and Youth at York and MYI

DCF Presentation

Additional DCF Charts

Description of Services

Grant Funded Services

Juvenile Justice In State Population Summary
by Facility, Gender and Ethnicity

Juvenile Justice Out of State Population Summary
by Facility, Gender and Ethnicity

Fee for Service

Provider Responses

Description of Population Served

Connecticut Behavioral Health Partnership
Administrative Services Organization Presentation

JPIC Time Frame
August 2006- January 2007

[Link to Archived Meeting Page](#)

Letter from the Co-chairs
February, 8, 2007

[Letter](#)

FINAL REPORT
February 12, 2007

[Final Report](#)

[Appendices F& G](#)

Committee Co-chairs

Sen. Toni Harp
Rep. Toni Walker

The Team

Administrators

Diana Caliendo
Sarah Kolb
John Orofino

Front End

facilitated by
Christine Rapillo
& Sara Mogulescu

Court-Related Issues

facilitated by
Ellen Scalettar
& Theresa Squobba

Services and Disposition

facilitated by
Hector Glynn
& Tina Chieu

Members

Portia Bonner, Ph.D.
Joyce Bosco
William Carbone
Fran Carino
Jeff Carr
Donald Devore
Rep. Robert Farr
Sen. Judith Freedman
Deborah Fuller
Rep. Lile R. Gibbons
Hector Glynn
Janice Gruendel
Susan Hamilton
Rep. Gail Hamm
Sen. Mary Ann Handley
Sen. John A. Kissel

Members

Lisa Kouzoujian
Mickey Kramer
Comm. Theresa Lantz
Judge William Lavery
Sal Luciano
Mary Marcial
Sen. Edward Meyer
Jeanne Milstein
Judge Barbara Quinn
Christine Rapillo
Ellen Scalettar
Chief Carl Sferrazza
Deborah DelPrete Sullivan
Rep. Cathy C. Tymniak

Obstacles

- Cost –expensive to raise the age- this was overcome mainly due to a mother advocating for her child

- Police/Prosecutor concerns:

Questioning without parents present (and having that questioning admissible in court) – we compromised by saying that for 16 and 17 year olds police would need to try to get parental consent first, but that “totality of circumstances” would be used to determine admissibility. They still have to get parental consent before questioning if they want information they used to be admissible.

Infractions and driving violations – these stayed as fines and in the adult court - with the allowance for transfer into the JJ system if there arises a situation when a kid could be jailed for something like failure to pay a lot of parking tickets.

There were concerns about holding kids longer in the police stations – it would take longer for parents to come get them – or what if they wouldn’t come get the older kid.

- There were concerns that these kids are bigger and meaner and scarier
- There was the “tough on crime” objection – there are lots of ways that this has been overcome

Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee

2007- 2009

Pursuant to Public Act 07-4, section 88, the council shall monitor the implementation of the central components of the implementation plan developed by the Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee, as set forth in subsection (f) of this section, and resolve issues identified by the committee, as set forth in subsection (g) of this section, concerning changes required in the juvenile justice system to expand jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years of age.

[Meetings, Members, Description](#)

2011-2012

Pursuant to Public Act 07-4, section 88, the council shall monitor the implementation of the central components of the implementation plan developed by the Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee, as set forth in subsection (f) of this section, and resolve issues identified by the committee, as set forth in subsection (g) of this section, concerning changes required in the juvenile justice system to expand jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years of age.

[Meetings, Members, Description](#)

Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee Continued

Present

PA 14-217, section 79 , "An Act Implementing Provisions of the State Budget for the Fiscal Year June 20, 2015" created the Juvenile Justice Policy and Oversight Committee which was established to evaluate policies related to the Juvenile Justice system and the expansion of juvenile jurisdiction to include persons sixteen and seventeen years of age.

[Meetings, Members, Description](#)

Gov. Malloy's Letter-

