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Federal Income Tax Reform

Background

– On June 24, 2016, the House Republicans released their vision for tax reform (the Blueprint).
– On April 26, 2017, President Trump released his overall vision for business tax reform.
– On November 2, 2017 (amended on November 9), the House Ways and Means federal tax reform legislation “Tax Cuts and Jobs Act” (H.R.1) was released totaling 429 pages.
– On November 9, 2017, the Senate released its federal tax reform proposals.
– Next up: Votes in the House and Senate and then a Conference Committee.
The House and Senate Business Tax Reform Proposals
Business Tax Reform Proposals

- Business Tax
  - Corporate rate reduced from 35% to 20% (Senate: Delay one year to 2019 tax year)
  - Immediate expensing of business investments in certain new and used assets for five years (JCT: -$84B first five years)
  - Limit net interest deductions to 30 percent of ATI (essentially EBITDA) – (JCT:+$172B)
    - Senate: similar provision (+$308B)
  - Limit NOL deduction to 90 percent of ATI: eliminate carrybacks; unlimited carryforward (with interest)
  - Repeal/restrict special exclusions or deduction (e.g., IRC § 199 domestic manufacturing deduction) (JCT:+$95B)

- Pass-Through Businesses
  - 25% capped tax rate; 70% safe harbor for wage income. (JCT: -$448B); 9% rate for some passthrough income
  - Senate: no rate cap but 17.4% deduction for pass-through income
The House and Senate International Tax Reform Proposals
International Tax Reform Proposals

- Move from worldwide to territorial tax system
  - 100% exemption for dividends from foreign subsidiaries (at least 10% owned)
  - Accumulated foreign earnings held overseas treated as repatriated under special Subpart F classification, with a bifurcated rate (14%/7%) for liquid and illiquid assets (JCT:+$293B) (Senate: 10%/5% rates)
- Current year inclusion of income with “foreign high returns” (JCT:+$77B)
- Excise tax on outbound related party payments; ECI election (+154B)
- Limits deduction of interest by members of international financing reporting group (JCT+34B)
- Require some R&D expenditures to be capitalized over 15 years for research conducted outside the country (+$70B)
- Senate: Has its own base erosion measures ($123B) and a current-year inclusion for global intangible low-taxed income ($115B).
The House and Senate Individual Income Tax Reform Proposals
Individual Income Tax Proposals

− Reduce 7 tax brackets to 4 tax brackets – 12%, 25%, 35% & 39.6% (Senate: keeps 7 tax brackets)
− Eliminate AMT
− Increase standard deduction (approx. double), while eliminating personal exemptions
− Eliminate most itemized deductions including deduction for state income (and sales) taxes
  ▪ Modify home mortgage interest deduction for newly purchased homes (capped at $500k of value; grandfather for existing mortgages); limit property tax deduction to $10,000 (but not foreign); retain charitable deduction (JCT:+$1.26T)
  ▪ Senate: keeps home mortgage interest deduction intact; eliminates entire state and local tax deduction.
− Eliminate estate tax (Senate keeps estate tax but increases size of estates that qualify for exemption)
What Happens Next?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate reductions</td>
<td>States have own rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special pass through entity rate</td>
<td>States have own rates (unless change is made as a deduction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadened tax base including repeal of many itemized deductions</td>
<td>State conformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully expensed investments</td>
<td>State partial conformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced repatriation rate</td>
<td>Modest impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Territorial tax regime</td>
<td>Minimal conformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax on high return foreign income and other base erosion measures</td>
<td>State partial conformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation of interest deductions</td>
<td>State conformity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s the Holdup?

- Corporate-only reform that improves competitiveness loses revenue.
- Pass-throughs oppose corporate-only reform.
- Individual tax cuts are very expensive without base broadening.
- Base broadeners are unpopular with those who benefit from them.
- Reconciliation permits tax changes with 50 votes but Byrd Rule precludes *increases to the deficit outside the baseline*.
- Static scoring and 2012 tax plan incoherence led to focus on boosting economic growth (territorial, expensing, permanence)
- House regular order vs. Hastert rule/leadership control of agenda
- Senate filibuster threats
- @realdonaldtrump
Some Final Observations

Federal tax reform would result in a significant shifting of tax policy priorities.

- H. R. 1 has about $5.9 trillion in tax cuts offset by about $4.5 trillion in tax increases.

States may experience short-term revenue increases, but there could be long-term pressures on state finances

- As proposed, federal tax reform could reduce federal revenues by as much as $1.5 trillion (based on static scoring)
- Federal debt to GDP is now at 105 percent – significantly above the 50 year average of 40 percent.
- The full (or partial) repeal of deductions for state and local income and property taxes increases the after-tax costs of state and local government at a time when federal resources will be constrained.